UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,369	11/25/2005	Brian Francis Mooney	P/259-55	2636
	7590 03/25/201 FABER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			RENWICK, REGINALD A	
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/537,369	MOONEY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	REGINALD A. RENWICK	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 J</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 117-143 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 117-143 are subject to restriction and	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal F	ate	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	aton Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/537,369 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 117-128, drawn to a simulator measuring distance, classified in class 702, subclass 149.
- II. Claim 129-134, drawn to a simulator consisting of a material of high strength to weight inertia connecting means, classified in class 463, subclass 2.
- III. Claim 135-137, drawn to sensing means for measuring the rotation of a shaft and communicating those measurements, classified in class 702, subclass 145.
- IV. Claim 138-140, drawn to simulator measuring a rate of rotation and rotation characteristics of the ball, classified in class 702, subclass 145.
- V. Claim 141-143, drawn to measuring the motion characteristics of an unconnected ball through sensors that occur when a ball is struck, classified in class 702, subclass 142.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions because Inventions I-V are related to a simulator comprising of a ball, and a base that simulates distance related to a ball that is struck, but each group discloses a different aspect than in the other groups that requires a different search. For example, Invention II is unique in that it consists of a

Application/Control Number: 10/537,369

Art Unit: 3714

requires a new search.

material of high strength to weight inertia connecting means, which is not stated in any other group. Invention I disclose means of a rigid construction that requires three degrees of freedom. Invention III discloses sensing means for measuring the rotation of a shaft, which is a unique limitation that is not addressed in the other claims and requires a new search. Invention IV discloses measuring a rate of rotation and rotation characteristics of the ball, which is a unique limitation that is not addressed in the other groups and requires a new search. Invention V discloses measuring the motion characteristics of an unconnected ball through sensors that occur when a ball is struck, which is a unique limitation that is not addressed in the other groups and

Page 3

- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
 - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
 - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
 - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;

Application/Control Number: 10/537,369

Art Unit: 3714

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to REGINALD A. RENWICK whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/537,369 Page 5

Art Unit: 3714

(571)270-1913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30AM-5:00PM,

Alt Fridays, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dmitry Suhol can be reached on 571-272-4430. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Joe H Cheng/

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3714

/R. A. R./

Examiner, Art Unit 3714